Attorney's Docket No:cardiobeat-1

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of

George McBride, et al

Filed: 03/27/2000

Title: Medical Testing System and Method

Serial No.: 09/535,186

EXAMINER: PAUL L. KIM

Art Unit 2857

MS Amendment Assistant Commissioner for Patents PO Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

AMENDMENT

This is in response to the Office action dated October 28, 2003.

REMARKS

Claims 1, and 4 through 20 are in the application. Claims 2 and 3 were previously cancelled.

Claims 1, 4, 8, 9, and 18-20 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by the Quy patent.

Claims 5-7 and 10-16 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as unpatentable over Ouy in view of the Kumar et al patent.

Claim 17 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as unpatentable over Quy in view of Zadrozny et al.

On August 16, 2002 declarations under 37 C.F.R. 131 from each of the inventors, as well as by the undersigned attorney were filed in this application. The declarations show that Applicant's invention was conceived at least as early as July 9, 1999 which is prior to the filing date of U.S. Patent 6,602,191 to Quy; and prior to the filing date of U.S. Patent 6,540,674 to Zadrozny et al.; and prior to the filing date of U.S. Patent 6,612,984 to Kerr II. The declarations set forth facts and evidence that Applicants were diligent in attempting to reduce the invention to practice up to and through the filing date of the present application.

Since all three of the Quy reference is the primary reference and the sole basis for rejection of claims 1, 4, 8, 9, and 18-20, and the Quy reference is removed from consideration,